

## ***Listing of Claims:***

1. (Currently Amended) A method of facilitating enterprise change comprising:

generating, via an architecture designed to a platform, a single logical information system across two or more enterprise base system server[[s]] computers connected to at least one database through base system connectors to execute pre-change due diligence and post-change integration of the enterprise change, wherein the enterprise change is at least one of a merger and acquisition;

identifying a synergy via the single logical information system across the two or more enterprise base system server computers;

conducting the synergy via ~~a graphical user interface~~ the single logical information system across the two or more enterprise base system server computers;

~~creating at least one comparison of the synergy against a synergy target for the synergy by measuring the synergy against the synergy target;~~

capturing a history of ~~the enterprise change~~ progress of the synergy, the history including a comparison of estimated synergy impact, and actual synergy impact realized, wherein capturing the history includes retrieving information via the single logical information system across the two or more enterprise base system server computers; and

applying, via the single logical information system across the two or more enterprise base system server computers, the history to at least one subsequent ~~enterprise change~~ synergy to achieve ~~the subsequent synergy in the at least one subsequent enterprise change~~ by improving an estimated impact of the subsequent synergy.

2-6. (Cancelled)

7. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the graphical user interface further comprises:

a scale of measurement for the synergy; and  
a selector for approval of the synergy.

8. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the graphical user interface further comprises:

at least one synergy target;  
at least one risk; and  
at least one sub-deliverable.

9. (Cancelled)

10. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1 wherein the graphical user interface further comprises:

a panel adapted to present merger-related views.

11. (Cancelled).

12. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1 further comprising:

a financial checklist interface adapted to present a financial, the financial checklist interface including:

at least one button configured to add or delete an item to or from the financial checklist.

13-15. (Cancelled)

16. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1 further comprising:

conducting at least one of an approval action, a rejection action, a cancellation action, and a storing action via an action interface.

17. (Cancelled)

18. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1 wherein the graphical user interface further comprises:

conducting at least one of a sales integration, an account transition, file sharing, and discussions, via an integration interface, and wherein integration interface features include at least one of a checklist for integration issues, and a panel for generating a document request.

19. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 18 wherein the integration interface includes features for viewing at least one of deliverables and calendars.

20. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1 wherein the synergy is at least one of a value, performance, and effect that can be achieved wherein resources of at least two enterprises combined will be greater than a sum of the resources of the at least two enterprises individually.

21. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1 wherein the synergy is based on, at least in part, at least one of: a human resources group, one or more customers, one or more suppliers, one or more sales, one or more services, one or more organizational departments, one or more information technology issues, and one or more budgets.

22. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1 wherein the synergy further comprises:  
achieving business goals; and  
assisting customers during the enterprise change.

23. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1 wherein the subsequent synergy in the at least one subsequent enterprise change is achieved using a closed-loop feedback.

24. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 23 wherein the closed-loop feedback further comprises:  
relaying the at least one comparison from the history to a subsequent graphical user interface associated with the subsequent synergy in the at least one subsequent enterprise change; and

applying the at least one comparison to the at least one subsequent graphical user interface to achieve the at least one subsequent enterprise change.

25. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 10 wherein the panel adapted to present merger related views further comprises at least one of:

- a link to a file space view;
- a link to a methodology view;
- a link to a view of research;
- a link to a view of reports; and
- a link to a view of a merger log.

26. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 12 wherein the financial checklist further comprises at least one of:

- a risk checklist item;
- a synergy checklist item;
- a list of legal data checklist item;
- a list of accounting data checklist item; and
- a list of internal data checklist items, wherein the internal data comprises proprietary information.

27. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 12 further comprising:

- using the financial checklist to generate a synergy.

28. (Currently Amended) A computer program product residing on a computer readable medium having a plurality of instructions stored thereon which, when executed by a processor, cause the processor to perform operations comprising:

generating, via an architecture designed to a platform, a single logical information system across two or more enterprise base system server[[s]] computers connected to at least one database through base system connectors to execute pre-change due diligence and post-change integration of the enterprise change, wherein the enterprise change is at least one of a merger and acquisition;

identifying a synergy via the single logical information system;

conducting the synergy via a graphical user interface;

~~creating at least one comparison of the synergy against a synergy target for the synergy by measuring the synergy against the synergy target;~~

capturing a history of ~~the enterprise change~~ progress of the synergy, the history including a comparison of estimated synergy impact, and actual synergy impact realized; and

applying the history to at least one subsequent ~~enterprise change~~ synergy to achieve [[a]] the subsequent synergy in the at least one subsequent enterprise change by improving an estimated impact of the subsequent synergy.

29. (Previously Presented) The computer program product of claim 28 wherein the synergy is at least one of a value, performance, and effect that can be achieved wherein resources of at least two enterprises combined will be greater than a sum of the resources of the at least two enterprises individually.

30. (Previously Presented) The computer program product of claim 28 wherein the synergy

further comprises:

achieving business goals; and

assisting customers during the enterprise change.

31. (Previously Presented) The computer program product of claim 28 wherein the

subsequent synergy in the at least one subsequent enterprise change is achieved using

a closed-loop feedback.